

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

L	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
_	10/517,366	12/10/2004	Takezou Hatanaka	043001	2757		
		7590 02/12/200 <sup>.</sup> I, HATTORI, DANIEL	EXAMINER				
		CTICUT AVENUE, NV	TRAN, THAO T				
	SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1711				
l	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
3 MONTHS 02/12/2007			02/12/2007	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	~~		
	•	10/517,	366	HATANAKA ET AL	<b></b>		
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit	<del></del>		
		Thao T.	Tran	1711			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi	ication appears on t	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	iress		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. Insions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commor period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are do patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. Intutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNI event, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MOI pplication to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this col BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status							
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  Since this application is in condition to closed in accordance with the practic	2b)⊠ This action is for allowance exce∣	pt for formal mat	·	merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objected to atthe oath or declaration is objected to	tion and/or election  Examiner.  a) accepted or letion to the drawing(s) the correction is requ	requirement.  b) objected to be held in abeya wired if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). I(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	• •		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2)  Notice Notice Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 12/10/04.	ГО-948)	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	·		

Application/Control Number: 10/517,366 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 7 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 7 repeats the same limitations as those in its parent claim, claim 1.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koike et al. (SUS Pat. 6,654,085).

Koike discloses a polarizing plate adhesive comprising a polyurethane polyol and an isocyanate crosslinker (see col. 4, ln. 13-22). Note that limitations on intended use in the preamble would have no significant patentable weight since the claim is directed to an adhesive and not a laminate.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,366 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujii et al. (US Pat. 6,964,814) in view of Koike et al. (US Pat. 6,654,085) or Nishizawa et al. (US Pat. 6,797,383). The Fujii reference is used as an equivalent of the EP 1160591 or WO 013007 disclosed in the IDS filed on 12/10/2004.

Fujii discloses a polarizer protection film for use in liquid crystal displays (see col. 1, ln. 17-36). The film is laminated to a polarizer by an adhesive to form a polarizing plate (see col. 3, ln. 17-22; col. 5, ln. 33-45; col. 27, ln. 36-41).

The protection film of Fujii is made from a resin composition comprising a thermoplastic resin A having a substituted or non-substituted imide group in a side chain of the resin A, and a thermoplastic resin B having at least a substituted or non-substituted phenyl group and a nitrile group at a side chain of the resin B (see col. 5, ln. 49-55). The film is biaxially stretched (see col. 19, ln. 33) with a retardation value in both plane and thickness directions of less than 20 nm (see col. 19, ln. 48 to col. 20, ln. 10). The film can be subjected to surface treatment including corona discharge or UV or acid treatment (see col. 25, ln. 26-36). Peel at the interface between the polarization plate and the retardation film is not observed, which is less than 1% (see col. 36, ln. 55-56). Moreover, note that whether the polarization plate is square or circular, it peeling properties would be the same.

Fujii, however, differs from the presently claimed invention in that the reference does not teach the adhesive to be a polyurethane adhesive.

Koike discloses a polarization laminate in liquid crystal displays, the laminate comprising an adhesive that is composed of a urethane resin obtained by cross-link reaction of an isocyanate prepolymer and a polyol such as polyurethane polyol (see abstract; col. 4, ln. 13-22).

Nishizawa discloses a polarization laminate comprising a polyurethane adhesive obtained from reacting a polyurethane prepolymer with a curing agent. The polyurethane prepolymer is a reaction product of an isocyanate and polypropylene glycol; and the curing agent is a polyurethane polyol, a reaction product of an isocyanate and polypropylene glycol (see col. 5, ln. 21-57; col. 6, ln. 11-15). Thus, the polyurethane polyol is a polyether urethane polyol.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the polyurethane adhesive, as taught by Koike or Nishizawa, in the adhesive composition of Fujii, because urethane adhesives obtained from reacting a polyurethane polyol and an isocyanate have been known to have excellent durability, weatherability, and chemical resistance.

### **Contact Information**

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao T. Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-1080. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, from 9:00 a.m. - 5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,366 Page 5

Art Unit: 1711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thao T. Tran
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1711